## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

GARY L. GREENFIELD, HARVEY A. KNELL, DAVID O. PHILIPS, and JAMES M. RETTERER Junior Party (Patent No. 5,687,799),

v.

ROBERT JOHN BROCKWAY Senior Party (Application No. 09/599,679).

Patent Interference No. 105,806 (SCM) (Technology Center 3600)

1 DECLARATION – Bd. R.  $203(b)^1$ 

- 2 Part A. Declaration of Interference
- 3 An interference is declared (35 U.S.C. § 135(a)) between the above-
- 4 identified parties. Details of the application, patent, count(s) and claims designated
- 5 as corresponding or as not corresponding to the count(s) appear in Parts E and F of
- 6 this DECLARATION.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Bd. R. x" may be used as shorthand for "37 C.F.R. § 41.x". 69 Fed. Reg. 49960, 49961 (12 Aug. 2004).

- Part B. Judge managing the interference
   Administrative Patent Judge Sally C. Medley has been designated to manage
   the interference. Bd. R. 104(a).
   Part C. Standing order
- 5 A Trial Section STANDING ORDER [SO] (Paper 2) accompanies this 6 DECLARATION. The STANDING ORDER applies to this interference. The
- DELLARATION. The STANDING ORDER applies to this interference. The
   STANDING ORDER has recently been updated. An Executive Summary of
   significant changes is provided.
- 9 Part D. Initial conference call
- 10 A telephone conference call to discuss the interference is set for 1:30 p.m.

  11 on 19 July 2011 (the Board will initiate the call).
- No later than four business days prior to the conference call, each party
  shall file and serve (SO ¶¶ 10.1 & 105) a list of the motions (Bd.R. 120; Bd.R. 204;
- 14 SO  $\P$  104.2.1, 120 & 204) the party intends to file.
- A sample schedule for taking action during the motion phase appears as
  Form 2 in the STANDING ORDER. Counsel are encouraged to discuss the
  schedule prior to the conference call and to agree on dates for taking action. A
  typical motion period lasts approximately eight (8) months. Counsel should be
  prepared to justify any request for a shorter or longer period.

1	Part E. Identification	and order of the parties
2		Junior Party
3 4 5 6 7	Named Inventors:	GARY L. GREENFIELD, Palos Heights, IL HARVEY A. KNELL, Yorkville, IL DAVID O. PHILIPS, Metamora, IL JAMES M. RETTERER, Naperville, IL
8 9	Involved Application:	Patent 5,687,799 issued 18 November 1997, based on application 08/700,598, filed 12 August 1996
10	Title:	Wheel assembly for a compacting machine
11	Assignee:	Caterpillar Inc.
12		Senior Party
13 14	Named Inventors:	ROBERT JOHN BROCKWAY, Plymouth, WI
15	Involved Patent	Application No. 09/599,679, filed 22 June 2000
16	Title:	Compactor wheel axle guard system
17	Assignee:	Bank First National
18	The senior party is assigned exhibit numbers 1001-1999. The junior party is	
19	assigned exhibit numbers 2001-2999. Bd.R. 154(c)(1); SO ¶ 154.2.1. The senior	
20	party is responsible for initiating	ng settlement discussions. SO ¶ 126.1.
21	Part F. Count and claim	ims of the parties
22		Count 1
23	Clai	m 1 of Greenfield's Patent
24		or
25	Claim 23 of Brockway's Application	
26		
27		

1	The claims of the parties are:
2	Greenfield: 1-10
3	Brockway: 1-8, 11, 12, 14-20, 23, 25, 29, and 33-36
4	The claims of the parties which correspond to Count 1 are:
5	Greenfield: 1, 5 and 6
6	Brockway: 23, 25 and 29
7	The claims of the parties which do not correspond to Count 1 are:
8	Greenfield: 2-4 and 7-10
9	Brockway: 1-8, 11, 12, 14-20 and 33-36
10	The parties are accorded the following benefit for Count 1:
11	Greenfield: none
12	Brockway: application 08/732,901, filed 17 October 1996, nov patent 5,769,507, issued 23 June 1998
14 15 16	application 60/005,639, filed 19 October 1995
17	Count 2
18	Claim 7 of Greenfield's Patent
19	or
20	Claim 33 of Brockway's Application
21	The claims of the parties are:
22	Greenfield: 1-10
23	Brockway: 1-8, 11, 12, 14-20, 23, 25, 29, and 33-36
24	The claims of the parties which correspond to Count 2 are:
25	Greenfield: 7-10
26	Brockway: 6-8, 14, 15, 20, 33 and 34
27	

28

1	The claims of the parties which do not correspond to Count 2 are:
2	Greenfield: 1-6
3	Brockway: 1-5, 11, 12, 16-19, 23, 25, 29, 35 and 36
4	The claims of the parties which do not correspond to either Count 1 or
5	Count 2 are:
6	Greenfield: 2-4
7	Brockway: 1-5, 11, 12, 16-19, 35 and 36
8	The parties are accorded the following benefit for Count 2:
9	Greenfield: none
10	Brockway: application 08/732,901, filed 17 October 1996, now
11	patent 5,769,507, issued 23 June 1998
12	•
13	application 60/005,639, filed 19 October 1995
14	

1	Part G. Heading to be used on papers	
2	The following heading must be used on all papers filed in this interference,	
3	see SO ¶ 106.1.1:	
4 5	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	
6 7 8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES	
9 10 11 12 13	GARY L. GREENFIELD, HARVEY A. KNELL, DAVID O. PHILIPS, and JAMES M. RETTERER Junior Party (Patent No. 5,687,799), v.	
14 15 16 17	ROBERT JOHN BROCKWAY Senior Party (Application No. 09/599,679).	
18 19 20 21	Patent Interference No. 105,806 (SCM) (Technology Center 3600)  ——————————————————————————————————	
22	Part H. Order form for requesting file copies	
23	When requesting copies of files, use of SO Form 4 will greatly expedite	
24	processing of the request. Please attach a copy of Parts E and F of this	
25	DECLARATION with a hand-drawn circle around the patents and applications for	
26	which a copy of a file wrapper is requested.	
27 28	/Sally C. Medley/ Administrative Patent Judge	
29		

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Enc: Copy of STANDING ORDER (March 2011) Copy of Executive Summary of STANDING ORDER Copy of claims of Application 09/599,679 Copy of Patent 5,769,507 Copy of Patent 5,687,799 Copy of Examiner's write-up
9	cc (via overnight delivery):
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Attorney for Greenfield:  MICHAEL D. BRAUNSTEIN CATERPILLAR INC PATENT DEPARTMENT AB6490 100 N E ADAMS STREET PEORIA IL 61629-6490
17	Attorney for Brockway:
18 19 20	ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, SC (ZPS) 136 S WISCONSIN ST PORT WASHINGTON, WI 53074